

formity across the state. That is why the computers is necessary.

SENATOR MARVEL: Are you in objection to the...I understand if your motion carries, 170 comes out in its original form which means that the amendment that I have on would be left in the committee, are you familiar with that amendment?

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, I am Senator Marvel. I've read it and in this position I would not object to an amendment and I'll tell you why. That amendment would still be feasible and would probably be right, except the State Tax Commissioner would provide all the factors that would be plugged into that computer, so every computerized system would be using the same factors and the same criteria for the purpose of evaluation.

SENATOR MARVEL: That would give the State Tax Commissioner complete power then. Is that true?

SENATOR KOCH: No, except that he would prescribe all of the factors, all of the criteria which is going to be used in determining the actual 35 percent of value, whether it is agricultural land, residential, commercial, industrial, whatever the zoning may be for it.

SENATOR MARVEL: Now may I ask you two other questions, one of them may be unfair but it means quite a little bit to our part of the state. If 170 is raised are you in a position to indicate some cutoff date as far as valuation is concerned as it pertains to state aid to education?

SENATOR KOCH: Senator Marvel, the amendment is drafted and it would be harmless based upon the '75 certified tax valuations of all counties for two years.

SENATOR MARVEL: Are you also in favor of, because of the seriousness of this particular problem and this discussion, of debating 131 and 170 at the same time so we can get the proper perspective?

SENATOR KOCH: Senator Marvel, I would look forward to that debate, the merits of 131 as opposed to LB 170.

SENATOR MARVEL: Thank you sir.

PRESIDENT: Senator Bereuter. Senator Stoney.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Since the committee has been deadlocked on this bill, most of the information has been provided here when we discuss this item. It gave me information on why the bill should be removed from committee and placed before the body for full consideration. I think some of the points that Senator Kahle has made, and also some of the points that Senator Marvel has made, have been helpful to me. But there are other members on that committee that, for one reason or more than one, have been in opposition to this particular measure. I would be most appreciative, and I think others in the body would also in their deliberations in making the decision as to whether or not they will support bringing the bill to the committee, if some of these individuals would express some of their main con-